

1 BRUCE C. YOUNG, ESQ., NV Bar # 5560  
2 PAIGE S. SHREVE, ESQ., NV Bar # 13773  
3 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP  
4 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600  
5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118  
6 TEL: 702.893.3383  
7 FAX: 702.893.3789  
8 [Bruce.Young@lewisbrisbois.com](mailto:Bruce.Young@lewisbrisbois.com)  
9 [Paige.Shreve@lewisbrisbois.com](mailto:Paige.Shreve@lewisbrisbois.com)  
10 Attorneys for Defendants Eduvision, Inc.,  
11 Marie Hallinan and Patricia T. Alpert

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

11 AYNUR KABOTA, an individual,  
12  
13 Plaintiff,  
14  
15 vs.

14 EDUVISION, INC., dba ARIZONA  
15 COLLEGE, a foreign corporation domiciled in  
16 Missouri; SENTINEL PEAK CAPITAL, LLC,  
17 dba ARIZONA COLLEGE, a Delaware  
18 Limited Liability Company; DIANE  
19 THOMASON, an individual; DANIEL J.  
20 NAVARRO, an individual; PATRICIA T.  
21 ALPERT, an individual; MARIE  
22 HALLINAN, an individual,  
23  
24 Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:19-cv-01497-JAD-VCF

**STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR  
EXTENSION OF TIME FOR  
DEFENDANT DIANE THOMASON TO  
ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND  
TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT**  
**[FIRST REQUEST]**

21 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Plaintiff AYNUR  
22 KABOTA ("Plaintiff") and Defendant DIANE THOMASON ("Defendant THOMASON"), by  
23 and through their respective counsel of record Raelene K. Palmer of THE PALMER LAW FIRM,  
24 P.C., and Bruce C. Young, Esq. of the law firm LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP,  
25 as follows:

26 Counsel for Defendant THOMASON has only recently been retained to represent  
27 Defendant THOMASON in this matter. In light of the numerous allegations and causes of action  
28

1 set forth in the Complaint against the several named defendants (including the  
2 currently represented Defendants), as well as the need for counsel for Defendants to ethically  
3 determine if joint representation of all of the named defendants is appropriate, an extension  
4 of time for Defendant THOMASON to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint is  
5 necessary.

6 Based on the date of service, Defendant THOMASON's response to Plaintiff's Complaint  
7 is due on December 5, 2019. In order to allow counsel for Defendant THOMASON sufficient  
8 time to investigate the facts and prepare an appropriate response to the Complaint, the Parties have  
9 agreed to stipulate to extend the time for Defendant THOMASON to respond to  
10 Plaintiff's Complaint until December 20, 2019.

11 This extension is not sought for any improper reason or for the purpose of delay.

12 DATED this 27th day of November, 2019. DATED this 27th day of November, 2019.  
~~LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP~~ ~~THE PALMER LAW FIRM, P.C.~~

13 By /s/ Bruce C. Young, Esq.

14 Bruce C. Young, Esq.  
15 Paige S. Shreve, Esq.  
16 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600  
17 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118  
18 Attorneys for Defendants  
19 Eduvision, Inc., Marie Hallinan and  
20 Patricia T. Alpert

By /s/ Raelene K. Palmer


Raelene K. Palmer, Esq.  
5550 Painted Mirage Road, Suite 320  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89149  
Attorneys for Plaintiff Aynur Kabota

21 **ORDER**

22 Pursuant to the Stipulation of the Parties, and good cause appearing therefore:

23 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Defendant  
24 THOMASON's response to Plaintiff's Complaint shall be filed on or before December 20, 2019.

25 DATED this 10th day of December, 2019.

26 

27 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE